

MENTORED YOUTH DEER

There were 1,110 resident single-tag antlerless licenses issued for the 2008 Mentored Youth Deer hunting season. All mentors/hunters were sampled and 845 responses (77%) were received (6 were undeliverable). Approximately 30% of responding mentors/hunters used the Internet response site.

The Mentored Youth licenses were valid during the Youth Deer season which ran from September 13, 2008 through January 31, 2009, a total of 141 days. Respondents reported hunting an average of 4.09 days each, which projected to 4,540 recreation days for the season.

Projections for the season estimated that a total of 79 whitetail bucks, 489 whitetail does, 13 mule deer bucks, and 106 mule deer does were harvested. The total harvest for the Mentored Youth Deer season was 687, and the overall success rate was 62%. The five counties with the highest reported harvest were Pennington, Custer, Meade, Yankton, and Tripp.

The average satisfaction rating for those responding (1 being very dissatisfied and 7 very satisfied) was 6.02.

Summary for the 2008 Mentored Youth Deer hunting season

YEAR	Licenses Sold	Harvest						Success	Avg Days Hunted	Average Satisfctn
		Bucks		Does		Total				
		WT	Mule	WT	Mule					
2008	1,110	79	13	489	106	687	62%	4.09	6.02	

2008 Mentored Youth Deer Harvest Projections

Last Revised: 17-Apr-09

Unit/Type	Licenses				Response Rate	Unit/Subunit #	Success	Harvest Projections						Total Deer Harvest	Average Satisfaction Score **	Avg Days Hunted
	Resident		Nonresident					Whitetail			Mule Deer					
	Available	Sold	Available	Sold				Bucks	Does	Doe Fawns	Bucks	Does	Doe Fawns			
ST1-03	Unlimited	1,110	0	0	77%	#	62%	79	436	53	13	93	13	687	6.02	4.09

Harvest projections were developed for units where response rate was less than 85% and may not be within +/- 15% of the sample statistic.

** Refers to a satisfaction scale of 1=very dissatisfied to 7=very satisfied.

2008 Mentored Youth Deer Distribution by County Hunted Most

County	Reported Harvest							Projected Harvest							Total	
	Whitetail			Mule Deer				Whitetail			Mule Deer					
	Bucks	Does	Fawn	Bucks	Does	Fawn	Total	Bucks	Does	Fawn	Bucks	Does	Fawn	Estimate	%	
	Fawn	Adult	Fawn	Fawn	Adult	Fawn		Fawn	Adult	Fawn	Fawn	Adult	Fawn			
Minnehaha	0	8	2	0	0	0	10	0	11	3	0	0	0	13	1.9%	
Pennington	5	27	4	0	4	1	41	7	36	5	0	5	1	54	7.9%	
Brown	3	13	0	0	0	0	16	4	17	0	0	0	0	21	3.1%	
Beadle	2	12	2	0	0	0	16	3	16	3	0	0	0	21	3.1%	
Codington	0	3	2	0	1	0	6	0	4	3	0	1	0	8	1.2%	
Brookings	0	9	1	0	0	0	10	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	1.9%	
Yankton	3	16	1	0	0	0	20	4	21	1	0	0	0	27	3.9%	
Davison	1	3	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	0	0	0	5	0.8%	
Lawrence	2	12	1	0	2	0	17	3	16	1	0	3	0	23	3.3%	
Aurora	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	1.0%	
Bennett	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0.4%	
Bon Homme	4	5	0	0	0	0	9	5	7	0	0	0	0	12	1.7%	
Brule	0	6	1	1	2	0	10	0	8	1	1	3	0	13	1.9%	
Buffalo	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0.4%	
Butte	1	9	2	0	2	0	14	1	12	3	0	3	0	19	2.7%	
Campbell	0	4	0	0	1	0	5	0	5	0	0	1	0	7	1.0%	
Charles Mix	1	6	0	0	4	2	13	1	8	0	0	5	3	17	2.5%	
Clark	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0.6%	
Clay	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	1.0%	
Corson	0	1	0	1	1	1	4	0	1	0	1	1	1	5	0.8%	
Custer	2	18	1	0	1	1	23	3	24	1	0	1	1	30	4.4%	
Day	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	0	16	1	0	0	0	17	2.5%	
Deuel	2	6	0	0	0	0	8	3	8	0	0	0	0	11	1.5%	
Dewey	1	1	1	1	1	0	5	1	1	1	1	1	0	7	1.0%	
Douglas	0	5	1	0	1	0	7	0	7	1	0	1	0	9	1.4%	
Edmunds	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0.4%	
Fall River	0	1	0	0	2	0	3	0	1	0	0	3	0	4	0.6%	
Faulk	1	6	0	0	0	0	7	1	8	0	0	0	0	9	1.3%	
Grant	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	1.4%	
Gregory	0	4	1	0	1	1	7	0	5	1	0	1	1	9	1.3%	
Haakon	0	0	1	2	4	1	8	0	0	1	3	5	1	10	1.5%	
Hamlin	4	5	0	0	0	0	9	5	7	0	0	0	0	12	1.7%	
Hand	0	3	0	1	1	0	5	0	4	0	1	1	0	7	1.0%	
Hanson	2	4	1	0	0	0	7	3	5	1	0	0	0	9	1.4%	
Harding	0	2	0	0	1	1	4	0	3	0	0	1	1	5	0.8%	
Hughes	0	1	0	1	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	4	0.6%	
Hutchinson	1	4	0	0	0	0	5	1	5	0	0	0	0	7	1.0%	
Hyde	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.2%	
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Jerauld	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0.4%	
Jones	0	3	1	0	1	0	5	0	4	1	0	1	0	7	1.0%	
Kingsbury	3	5	1	0	0	0	9	4	7	1	0	0	0	12	1.7%	
Lake	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0.6%	
Lincoln	3	7	0	0	0	0	10	4	9	0	0	0	0	13	1.9%	
Lyman	0	2	0	1	3	1	7	0	3	0	1	4	1	9	1.3%	
McCook	2	5	0	0	0	0	7	3	7	0	0	0	0	9	1.3%	
McPherson	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0.4%	
Marshall	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	4	0.6%	
Meade	1	13	5	0	9	0	28	1	17	7	0	12	0	37	5.4%	
Mellette	0	2	1	0	2	0	5	0	3	1	0	3	0	7	1.0%	
Miner	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0.4%	
Moody	2	5	0	0	0	0	7	3	7	0	0	0	0	9	1.3%	
Perkins	1	5	0	0	5	0	11	1	7	0	0	7	0	14	2.1%	
Potter	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	4	0.6%	
Roberts	0	11	0	0	0	0	11	0	15	0	0	0	0	15	2.1%	
Sanborn	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	1.2%	
Spink	5	8	1	0	0	0	14	7	11	1	0	0	0	19	2.7%	
Stanley	0	2	0	1	3	0	6	0	3	0	1	4	0	8	1.1%	
Sully	0	1	1	0	3	0	5	0	1	1	0	4	0	7	1.0%	
Tripp	3	7	1	1	8	0	20	4	9	1	1	10	0	26	3.8%	
Turner	1	1	2	0	1	0	5	1	1	3	0	1	0	7	1.0%	
Union	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	0	5	1	0	0	0	7	1.0%	
Walworth	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0.4%	
Ziebach	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	1	5	0.8%	
Shannon	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.2%	
Todd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Unknown	0	3	1	0	0	0	4									
TOTALS	60	332	40	10	71	10	523	79	436	53	13	93	13	687		

Any by county projected harvest values will differ from by unit projected harvest values due to incorrect sampling proportions within counties.
Use these figures for approximations only!